

**OCT 18 2005**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK**  
**U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JULIAN RANDOLPH JOHNSON, a/k/a  
Zaafir Siefullah Saafir and Julian Alonzo  
Crim,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-50142

D.C. No. CR-04-00545-JFW

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
John F. Walter, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 11, 2005<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: T.G. NELSON, WARDLAW and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Julian Randolph Johnson appeals his guilty-plea conviction and sentence of 12 months and 1 day for being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1).

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), counsel for Johnson has filed a brief stating that he finds no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our examination of the brief and our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penon v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 83 (1988), disclose no grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED** and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.